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## BACKGROUND

Cardiovascular risk reduction is now an important element of care for those with HIV. Interventions such as statins are recommended for those with a risk of $>20 \%$ and recent local guidance recommended against the use of Abacavir as a first line agent in those with $>10 \%$ risk. Three risk calculators:
Framingham, Qrisk2 and DAD (Data Collection on Adverse Effects of Anti-HIV Drugs) are commonly used. We used data from a cohort with a ten year Framingham cardiovascular of $\geq 10 \%$ to compare the three calculators. Our clinic currently uses the Framingham equation to calculate risk as it is the easiest to use, but a telephone survey of local HIV clinics showed that several other clinics use Qrisk2 or the DAD risk equations.

## METHODS

- The HIV nursing team systematically collected data on cardiovascular risk factors, including the Framingham risk equation for all patients attending the clinic in 2010 and 2011.
- Five year cardiovascular risk was calculated using the DAD risk equation and 10 year risk was calculated using QRisk2 for all those with a 10 year Framingham risk of $\geq 10 \%$.


## ELIGIBILITY AND DEMOGRAPHICS

- We assessed 1153 eligible patients.
- Young people attending the transition HIV clinic, pregnant women, and those who had given birth within the past 3 months were excluded.
- Out of a cohort of1153 patients, 195 (16.9\%) had a Framingham risk of $\geq 10 \%$.
+ 181/195 (92.8\%) were male and 113 (57.9\%) were White British. Median age was 69.5.


## RESULTS

Amongst patients with a Framingham risk of $\geq 10 \%$ :

- Median systolic blood pressure: 145.5 mmHg .
- Median total cholesterol/ HDL ratio: 4.1.
- Antihypertensive treatment: 28 patients (14.4\%)
- Diabetes mellitus: 25 patients (12.8\%)
- Family history of ischemic heart disease: 58 patients (29.7\%)
- Current smoker: 66 patients (33.8\%)
- Chronic renal impairment: 10 patients (5.1\%)
- Rheumatoid arthritis: 5 patients (2.6\%)
- 124/195 (63.6\%) had a 10 year QRisk2 score $\geq 10 \%$.
- 23/195 (11.8\%) had a 5 year DAD risk $\geq 10 \%$.
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## Table showing results:

|  | Framingham <br> 10 year risk <br> $\mathrm{N}=195 / 1153$ | QRISK2 <br> 10 year risk <br> $\mathrm{N}=195$ | DAD <br> 5 year risk <br> $\mathrm{N}=195$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of <br> patients with a risk <br> of $\geq 20.0 \%$ | $25(12.8 \%)$ | $41(21.0 \%)$ | $4(2.1 \%)$ |
| Number of <br> patients with a risk <br> of $10.0 \%-19.9 \%$ | $170(87.2 \%)$ | $83(42.6 \%)$ | $19(9.7 \%)$ |
| Number of <br> patients with a risk <br> of 5.0\% - $9.9 \%$ | 0 | $50(25.6 \%)$ | 66 <br> $(33.8 \%)$ |
| Number of <br> patients with a risk <br> of $<5.0 \%$ | 0 | $21(10.8 \%)$ | 106 <br> $(54.4 \%)$ |

## CONCLUSION

* The ten year QRisk 2 and five year DAD cardiovascular risk scores varied in HIV positive patients with a ten year Framingham risk of $\geq 10 \%$.
* Variability of results from the different calculations may lead to patients who would be considered to be at high cardiovascular risk in one clinic being considered low risk at another.
${ }^{\oplus}$ This could lead to variability in the strategies used in reducing risk as well as decisions as to potential choice of antiretroviral treatment.
* It is suggested that consensus is obtained on which risk calculation tool is used in HIV patients within our sector.
* This will ensure consistency and equity of management of risk, and choice of antiretroviral agents.
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