

Dr Naomi Bulteel

Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, London

18-20 April 2012, The International Convention Centre, Birmingham

Comparison of the rate of emergence of drug resistant HIV variants at virological failure in HAART combinations containing tenofovir, efavirenz and lamivudine or emtricitabine within UK CHIC

**Naomi Bulteel, Mark Nelson, Caroline Sabin, David Dunn
On behalf of UK CHIC and the UK HDRD**

Background

- Lamivudine (3TC) and emtricitabine (FTC) are guideline choices for combination highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART)
- Despite similar chemical structures, different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties are reported between the two agents
- Studies have suggested that the emergence of resistance mutations is more frequent with 3TC containing regimens.



Methods

- Inclusion criteria
 - All patients receiving tenofovir (TDF) and efavirenz (EFV) with either 3TC or FTC within UK CHIC
- Exclusion criteria:
 - Individuals receiving more than 3 antiretroviral agents
 - Individuals with a resistance test revealing either K65R or M184V mutation
- Endpoints
 - Detection of M184V
 - Detection of K65R
 - Detection of either M184V or K65R



Statistics

- Rate of event = number of events divided by person time
- Rates were stratified by demographic variables (age, sex, risk factor and ethnicity), current CD4 count and current viral load
- Significant associations determined by Poisson regression
- Virological failure = 1 viral load > 400 copies/ml
- For sensitivity analyses, only the first regimen was analysed and logistic regression was used to determine significant associations between type of regimen and detection of resistance mutations



Baseline characteristics

		3TC + TDF + EFV	FTC + TDF + EFV
Total number		1228	5190
Age	Median (IQR)	39 (34, 45)	40 (34, 46)
Sex n (%)	Male	981 (79.9)	4268 (82.2)
	Female	247 (20.1)	922 (17.8)
Ethnicity n (%)	White	767 (62.5)	3111 (59.9)
	Black	341 (27.8)	1438 (27.7)
	Other	120 (9.8)	641 (12.4)
Risk factor n (%)	MSM	713 (58.1)	3053 (58.8)
	Heterosexual	392 (31.9)	1480 (28.5)
	IVDU	46 (3.8)	109 (2.1)
	Other/unknown	77 (6.3)	548 (10.6)
CD4 (cells/mm³)	Median (IQR)	276 (170, 470)	297 (192, 475)
VL (copies/ml)	Median (IQR)	53 (50, 82000)	312 (50, 66249)
VL ≤ 50 n (%)¹	Yes	514 (49.9)	1992 (46.1)
	No	517 (50.1)	2326 (53.9)

¹Amongst those receiving 3TC, 197 did not have a VL measured at start of regimen. Amongst those receiving FTC, 872 did not have a VL measured at start of regimen.



Baseline characteristics

		3TC + TDF + EFV	FTC + TDF + EFV
Total number		1228	5190
Age	Median (IQR)	39 (34, 45)	40 (34, 46)
Sex n (%)	Male	981 (79.9)	4268 (82.2)
	Female	247 (20.1)	922 (17.8)
Ethnicity n (%)	White	767 (62.5)	3111 (59.9)
	Black	341 (27.8)	1438 (27.7)
	Other	120 (9.8)	641 (12.4)
Risk factor n (%)	MSM	713 (58.1)	3053 (58.8)
	Heterosexual	392 (31.9)	1480 (28.5)
	IVDU	46 (3.8)	109 (2.1)
	Other/unknown	77 (6.3)	548 (10.6)
CD4 (cells/mm³)	Median (IQR)	276 (170, 470)	297 (192, 475)
VL (copies/ml)	Median (IQR)	53 (50, 82000)	312 (50, 66249)
VL ≤ 50 n (%)¹	Yes	514 (49.9)	1992 (46.1)
	No	517 (50.1)	2326 (53.9)

¹Amongst those receiving 3TC, 197 did not have a VL measured at start of regimen. Amongst those receiving FTC, 872 did not have a VL measured at start of regimen.



Baseline characteristics

		3TC + TDF + EFV	FTC + TDF + EFV
Total number		1228	5190
Age	Median (IQR)	39 (34, 45)	40 (34, 46)
Sex n (%)	Male	981 (79.9)	4268 (82.2)
	Female	247 (20.1)	922 (17.8)
Ethnicity n (%)	White	767 (62.5)	3111 (59.9)
	Black	341 (27.8)	1438 (27.7)
	Other	120 (9.8)	641 (12.4)
Risk factor n (%)	MSM	713 (58.1)	3053 (58.8)
	Heterosexual	392 (31.9)	1480 (28.5)
	IVDU	46 (3.8)	109 (2.1)
	Other/unknown	77 (6.3)	548 (10.6)
CD4 (cells/mm³)	Median (IQR)	276 (170, 470)	297 (192, 475)
VL (copies/ml)	Median (IQR)	53 (50, 82000)	312 (50, 66249)
VL ≤ 50 n (%)¹	Yes	514 (49.9)	1992 (46.1)
	No	517 (50.1)	2326 (53.9)

¹Amongst those receiving 3TC, 197 did not have a VL measured at start of regimen. Amongst those receiving FTC, 872 did not have a VL measured at start of regimen.



Results

- 5455 patients received either (or both) 3TC, TDF and EFV or FTC, TDF and EFV through the course of follow up contributing a total of 6465 cases
- 47 episodes preceded by a resistance test showing evidence of K65R (n=4) or M184V (n=43) therefore excluded
- 9962 person-years follow up



Results

- Detection of M184V
 - 38 cases of M184V detected (event rate 0.38(95% CI: 0.26,0.5)/100 person years)
 - M184V development more common with 3TC than FTC (0.55 (0.28,0.96)/100 person years vs. 0.34 (0.21,0.46)/100 person years)
 - Patients of black ethnicity more likely to develop M184V

		M184V	
		RR (95% CI)	P-value
Regimen	FTC	1	0.23
	3TC	1.55 (0.78, 3.08)	
Current CD4 (cells/mm3)	≤200	1.49 (0.74, 2.97)	0.17
	201 – 350	0.83 (0.4, 1.73)	
	>350	1	
	Missing	1.42 (0.27, 7.35)	
Current age (years)	≤35	1.45 (0.93, 2.26)	0.24
	36 – 45	0.83 (0.53, 1.32)	
	>45	1	
Ethnicity	White	1	0.003
	Black	2.86 (1.44, 4.68)	
	Other	0.64 (0.14, 2.82)	



Results

- Detection of K65R
 - 21 cases of K65R detected over 9962 person years (event rate 0.21 (95% CI:0.12,0.31)/100 person years)
 - K65R development more common with 3TC than FTC (0.32 (0.13,0.66)/100 person years) v 0.18 (0.1,0.3)/100 person years)
 - Higher current CD4 counts less likely to be followed by the detection of K65R (0.77 (0.65, 0.91) per 50 cells higher)

		K65R	
		RR (95% CI)	P-value
Regimen	FTC	1	0.3
	3TC	1.62 (0.65, 4.02)	
Current CD4 (cells/mm3)	≤200	0.77 (0.65, 0.91)	0.003
	201 – 350		
	>350		
	Missing		
Current age (years)	≤35	Excluded ¹	
	36 – 45		
	>45		
Ethnicity	White	1	0.16
	Black	1.88 (0.77, 4.6)	
	Other	-	

¹Adjusted for ethnicity – no other factors significant in univariable analyses



Results

- Detection of K65R or M184V
 - 48 cases of either K65R or M184V (event rate 0.48(95% CI: 0.26,0.5)/100 person years)
 - No association seen between type of regimen and detection of K65R or M184V (1.43 (0.77,2.66); p = 0.27)
 - Black ethnicity and low current CD4 count were more likely to be associated with detection of M184V or K65R

		K65R or M184V	
		RR (95% CI)	P-value
Regimen	FTC	1	0.27
	3TC	1.43 (0.77, 2.66)	
Current CD4 (cells/mm3)	≤200	1.73 (0.91, 3.26)	0.02
	201 – 350		
	>350		
	Missing		
Current age (years)	≤35	1.4 (0.94, 2.1)	0.26
	36 – 45		
	>45		
Ethnicity	White	1	0.003
	Black	3.32 (1.46, 7.53)	
	Other	0.53 (0.12, 2.31)	



Results

- Patients experiencing virological failure with resistance tests available at time of failure
 - 53 patients were receiving 3TC based regimens
 - 148 patients were receiving FTC based regimens
 - Of those receiving 3TC, 7 (13.2%), 12 (22.6%) and 15 (28.3%) of patients developed K65R, M184V and either K65R or M184V respectively
 - Of those receiving FTC, 13 (8.8%), 20 (13.5%) and 26 (17.6%) developed the above mutations



Sensitivity analyses

		K65R		M184V		K65R/M184V	
		OR(95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
<i>Univariable analyses</i>							
Regimen	FTC	1	0.36	1	0.12	1	0.09
	3TC	1.58 (0.59, 4.20)		1.87 (0.84, 4.16)		1.85 (0.89, 3.85)	
<i>Multivariable analyses¹</i>							
Regimen	FTC	1	0.63	1	0.12	1	0.1
	3TC	1.57 (0.58, 4.24)		1.91 (0.85, 4.32)		1.89 (0.89, 4.01)	

¹Adjusted for ethnicity – no other factors significant in univariable analyses



Conclusions

- Virological failure of TDF, EFV and 3TC or FTC is uncommon in clinical practice
- Although 3TC containing regimens were associated with an increased rate of M184V and K65R detection this failed to reach statistical significance
- Other factors, particularly cost, may become increasingly important in choosing between these agents where such high rates of virological success are achieved



Acknowledgements

- Mark Nelson
- Caroline Sabin
- Loveleen Bansi
- David Dunn

- UK CHIC
- UK HDRD



UK CHIC: Acknowledgements

Research Department of Infection and Population Health, UCL Medical School: C Sabin, T Hill, L Bansi, A Phillips, S Jose, S Huntington, A Thornton

Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit (MRC CTU): D Dunn, A Glabay

Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust : M Fisher, D Churchill, N Perry, S Tilbury

Chelsea and Westminster NHS Trust: B Gazzard, M Nelson, M Waxman, D Asboe, S Mandalia

Kings College London School of Medicine, GKT Hospitals: F Post, H Korat, C Taylor, Z Gleisner, F Ibrahim, L Campbell

Mortimer Market Centre, UCL Medical School: R Gilson, N Brima, I Williams

Royal Free NHS Trust/UCL Medical School: M Johnson, M Youle, F Lampe, C Smith, H Grabowska, C Chaloner, D Puradiredja

Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust: J Walsh, N Mackie, A Winston, J Weber, F Ramzan

Barts and the London NHS Trust: C Orkin, J Lynch, J Hand, C de Souza

Homerton University Hospital NHS Trust: J Anderson, S Munshi, D Awosika

The Lothian University Hospital NHS Trust: C Leen, A Wilson

North Middlesex University Hospital NHS Trust: A Schwenk, J Ainsworth, C Wood, S Miller

Health Protection Agency Centre for Infections: V Delpéch

North Bristol NHS Trust: M Gompels, S Allan

University of Leicester NHS Trust: A Palfreeman, A Moore, L Fox

South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust: D Chadwick, K Baillie

Woolwich NHS Trust: S Kegg, P Main

Coventry NHS Trust: S Allan

St. George's NHS Trust: P Hay, M Dhillon

York: F Martin, S Douglas

UK CHIC is funded by the UK Medical Research Council



Questions?

