

4 year surveillance (2014) – [Bipolar disorder: assessment and management \(2014\) NICE guideline CG185](#)

Stakeholder consultation comments form - proposal for 'no update'

Consultation on the proposal for 'no update' opens on: 10 August 2017
Comments on proposal to be submitted: no later than 9 am on 24 August 2017

<p>Please enter the name of your registered stakeholder or respondent organisation below.</p> <p>Please use this form for submitting your comments to NICE.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please put each new comment in a new row. Please note – we cannot accept comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets. If we receive forms with attachments we will return them without reading the comments. If you resubmit the comments on a form without attachments, this must be by the consultation deadline. If you wish to draw our attention to published studies, please supply the full reference. NICE is unable to accept comments from non-registered organisations. If you wish your comments to be considered please register via the NICE website or contact the registered stakeholder organisation that most closely represents your interests and pass your comments to them. 	
<p>Organisation name – Stakeholder or respondent (if you are commenting as an individual rather than a registered stakeholder or respondent organisation, please leave blank):</p>	British HIV Association (BHIVA)
<p>Disclosure Please disclose whether the organisation has any past or current, direct or indirect links to, or receives funding from, the tobacco industry.</p>	None
<p>Name of commentator:</p>	Dr Iain Reeves

[Developing NICE guidelines: the manual](#) gives an overview of the processes used in surveillance reviews of NICE clinical guidelines.

ID	Questions	Overall response yes / no	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row
----	-----------	------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------

ID	Questions	Overall response yes / no	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row
1	Do you agree with the proposal not to update the guideline?	No	Please see comments below on areas excluded.
2	Do you agree with the proposal to remove the research recommendation: RR-01 What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of fluoxetine combined with olanzapine versus an alternative selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) combined with olanzapine in the treatment of moderate to severe bipolar depression?		
3	Do you agree with the proposal to remove the research recommendation: RR-02 What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of a specialised collaborative care service for people admitted to hospital with bipolar disorder compared with usual treatment delivered by generic care services?		
4	Do you agree with the proposal to remove the research recommendation: RR-04 What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of face-to-face cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) compared with internet-facilitated CBT in the long-term management of bipolar disorder?		
5	Do you agree with the proposal to remove the research recommendation: RR-05 What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of structured psychological interventions for young people with bipolar depression?		
6	Do you have any comments on areas excluded from the scope of the guideline?	Yes	Bipolar disorder is associated with periods of disinhibited behaviour so an HIV test should be offered as opt out. Maniform psychosis can be a feature of late HIV and an HIV

ID	Questions	Overall response yes / no	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row
			test should be offered.
7	Do you have any comments on equalities issues?	No	Mania and psychosis are described in HIV cognitive impairment

Please add extra rows as needed, keeping the ID number consistent with the corresponding question.

Please email this form to: surveillance@nice.org.uk

Closing date: 24 August 2017

PLEASE NOTE:

NICE reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, if NICE's reasonable opinion is that the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would be otherwise inappropriate.