

Dr Andrew Hill
University of Liverpool

18-20 April 2012, The International Convention Centre, Birmingham

**Effects of HIV/HCV co-infection on the efficacy of
antiretroviral treatment for HIV**

**A meta-analysis of 5408 patients in 10
randomised clinical trials**

**Andrew Hill, Liverpool University, Liverpool, UK
Federico Pulido, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain
Yvon van Delft, Janssen, Tilburg, The Netherlands
Christiane Moecklinghoff, Janssen, Neuss, Germany**

British HIV Association Conference, Birmingham, UK, April 2012 [abstract O-29]

Background

The effects of HCV co-infection on the efficacy of antiretroviral treatment have not been clearly established.

There have been conflicting results from cohort studies of antiretroviral treatment in HIV/HCV co-infected patients, which have used a range of efficacy endpoints.

Most HIV clinical trials exclude patients with active IV drug use and/or HCV co-infection which could require treatment

Methods

- A detailed MEDLINE search was conducted to identify HIV cohort studies and clinical trials with published analyses of the efficacy of antiretroviral treatment by HCV co-infection.
- The efficacy of antiretroviral treatment by co-infection was summarised in the cohort studies.
- A meta-analysis of the clinical trials was conducted, with the standardized endpoint of HIV RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 48
- ITT Time to Loss of Virological Response (TLOVR) algorithm used for analysis of the HIV clinical trials.

Results

- **12 cohort studies were identified**
- **10 clinical trials**
 - seven in treatment-naïve patients,
 - three in pre-treated patients
- Overall, 637/5408 (12%) patients had HIV/HCV co-infection by HCV antibody tests
- This percentage was in the lower range of the percentage of HIV/HCV co-infected patients reported in cohort studies in North America and Europe (median 37%, range 9-64%)

Results: HCV and outcomes in 12 cohort studies

Cohort (Country, year)	n	%HCV+	HCV co-infection and outcomes on ARV treatment
ACTG (USA, 2011)	2,495	-	Higher risk of HIV RNA rebound >200 copies/mL
VA (USA, 2004)	12,216	37%	Significantly lower survival
British Columbia (Canada, 2006)	1,186	51%	Smaller rises in CD4 cell count
EuroSida (Europe, 2004)	5,883	34%	No effects on time to first HIV RNA <400 copies/mL; no effects on CD4 cell rises; significantly lower survival
MASTER (Italy, 2011)	3,262	26%	Slower time to HIV RNA <500 copies/mL No effects on CD4 cell rises
National cohort (Denmark, 2006)	2,734	16%	Significantly lower survival
CHIC (UK 2010)	20,365	8.9%	No effects on HIV RNA suppression or CD4 cell rises
Russian study (Russia, 2011)	416	64%	Smaller rises in CD4 cell count
AHOD (Australia, 2003)	2,086	13.1%	Smaller rises in CD4 cell count No effects on HIV RNA suppression or survival
Outpatient clinics (Vietnam, 2011)	1,806	27%	Smaller rises in CD4 cell count Higher loss to follow up
Treat Asia (SE Asia, 2007)	2979	10%	No effects on time to first HIV RNA <400 copies/mL, CD4 cell rises or survival
PEPFAR (Tanzania, 2010)	4,935	1.4%	Smaller rises in CD4 cell count No effects on survival

Results – Clinical trials of treatment naïve patients
Difference = -11.1% (95% CI -6.5% to -15.6%)

Clinical trial	Treatment arm	% HCV+	HIV RNA <50 copies/mL	
			HCV+	HCV-
Naïve patients				
Gilead 934	ZDV/3TC/EFV	7%	7/16 (44%)	164/228 (72%)
	TDF/FTC/EFV	4%	8/10 (80%)	186/233 (80%)
ECHO/THRIVE	2NRTI/EFV	9% (B/C)	50/63 (79%)	497/602 (83%)
	2NRTI/RPV	7% (B/C)	36/49 (74%)	528/621 (85%)
SENSE	2NRTI/EFV	10%	5/8 (63%)	53/70 (76%)
	2NRTI/ETR	11%	5/9 (56%)	55/70 (79%)
KLEAN	ABC/3TC/FPV/r	12%	20/47 (43%)	277/358 (77%)
	ABC/3TC/LPV/r	9%	23/38 (61%)	282/386 (73%)
ARTEMIS	TDF/FTC/DRV/r	8%	32/42 (76%)	255/300 (85%)
	TDF/FTC/LPV/r	9%	32/48 (67%)	239/299 (80%)
CASTLE	TDF/FTC/ATV/r	9%	42/61 (69%)	300/378 (79%)
	TDF/FTC/LPV/r	7%	37/51 (73%)	301/397 (77%)

Results – Clinical trials of pre-treated patients
difference = -13.2%, (95% CI -4.6% to -21.1%)

Pre-treated Patients	Treatment arm	% HCV co-infection	HIV RNA <50 copies/mL	
			HCV+	HCV-
TITAN	2NRTI/DRV/r	13% (B/C)	35/52 (67%)	193/244 (79%)
	2NRTI/LPV/r	12% (B/C)	19/37 (51%)	179/259 (69%)
MONET	2NRTI/DRV/r	9%	10/12 (83%)	103/117(88%)
	DRV/r	17%	16/22 (71%)	94/105 (89%)
OK-04	2NRTI/LPV/r	50%	37/50 (74%)	39/48 (81%)
	LPV/r	44%	31/44 (70%)	46/56 (82%)

Limitations of the analysis

- HCV co-infected patients enrolled in clinical trials may have a better outcome than those who are not enrolled (eg. active IV drug users).
- With the TLOVR algorithm for analysing HIV RNA <50 copies/mL, a high proportion of non-responders (50-70%) can be discontinuations of treatment for adverse events or other reasons
- No data on rises in CD4 count or survival in the clinical trials
- Hepatitis C co-infection is based on antibody testing only. Ten to 30% of the patients with positive serology against HCV do not have actual replication of HCV as measured by viral load assays, suggesting spontaneous clearance of HCV

Conclusions

- **In the meta-analysis of the 10 clinical trials, treatment efficacy as (HIV RNA<50 copies/mL at Week 48) was 11.5% lower in HIV/HCV co-infected patients compared to those with HIV infection alone (p<0.001)**
- **The cause of the lower efficacy of antiretroviral treatment in HIV/HCV co-infected patients is unclear. The TLOVR algorithm includes discontinuations for adverse events or other reasons as failure endpoints.**
- **The low percentage of HIV/HCV co-infected patients in this analysis (12%), compared with published cohort studies (37%), suggests that HCV co-infected patients are under-represented in HIV clinical trials**