

# Ethical dilemmas of submitting detailed street maps showing areas of risk behaviours to healthcare journals.

D Mital<sup>1\*</sup> and S Conway<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of HIV, Milton Keynes University Hospital, UK

2. Faculty of Business and Law, Open University. UK

\* Corresponding author Email [dushyant.mital@mkuh.nhs.uk](mailto:dushyant.mital@mkuh.nhs.uk)

## Abstract

With advances made in detailed cartography e.g. Google maps, we debated whether it would be ethical to highlight high risk areas of injecting drug use and needles being discarded in our city by producing accurate Google Street and area maps. These maps clearly demonstrated high risk areas in areas of high social deprivation despite there being nearby drug dependency units and needle exchange centres. Our team, not unreasonably felt that the submitted research article should not enclose these maps even after known street names and postcodes were omitted as it was felt that there may be a public backlash and outcry particularly from residents living in these areas to being 'targeted' and a decision was made to submit the article without the maps despite this public sector data being available as a 'Freedom of Information' Act. This effectively diluted the 'geospatial' message of the article as it became more difficult to articulate the relative healthcare needs of this marginalised and often chaotic cohort and the availability of nearby, specialised healthcare services. Such maps provide a huge aid in public health commissioning and provision of healthcare services as guidance is given to high healthcare priorities and needs.

## Introduction


Public injecting drug use continues to be an ongoing problem in most urban areas of western towns and cities leading to ongoing morbidity and mortality<sup>1</sup> and harm to the community, families, friends and colleagues<sup>2</sup>. Individuals who are Injecting Drug Users (IDUs) to obtain a 'high' or inebriation often result in risk taking behaviours including anti-social, criminal, sexual and further drug use<sup>3</sup>. IDUs tend to congregate or group activity although it is difficult to ascertain rates and levels of such activities. Non-public IDUs further compound the difficulties in acquiring accurate data for health and social care interventions. IDUs are less likely to be aware of such interventions or pathways for related healthcare e.g. Addiction Specialist review or Blood Borne Virus (BBV) screening and this often chaotic and marginalised group are difficult to engage in general and specialised healthcare initiatives<sup>4</sup> and a lack of awareness of appropriate disposal sites or areas of safe needles wastage sites is also well documented<sup>5</sup>. Despite the increasing provisions for needle exchange programmes, there are still ongoing sightings and reports of discarded, used syringes and needles<sup>6</sup>. Risks to the surrounding community are clearly hazardous particularly those from sharps injuries and it is clear that multi-disciplinary actions are needed to create more concerted initiatives to tackle these issues<sup>7</sup>.

## Methods

In response to concerns about the numbers of used needles being abandoned in Milton Keynes (MK), UK in conjunction with a high number of BBV diagnoses, the Community Safety Partnership and Public Health drugs & alcohol lead collaborated to pull together a multi-agency working group, initially with the aims of analysing data to understand the scale and spread of the problem along with identifying what each agency could do to address the issue, improving data collection and preventing and reducing the incidence of abandoned needles through the use of geospatial mapping and Through data mapping, the group was able to identify hotspot areas where the majority of used needles were being abandoned. It is deemed acceptable to produce diagrams showing numbers of abandoned needles found monthly or even a table showing needles found in each estate with a comparative Index Multiple Deprivation (IMD) score in an anonymised way. The data drawn upon for this analysis was provided by the waste management department at MK Council, the housing team and the Parks Trust. When each area of MK was further analysed, it was seen that the socially deprived and marginalised areas of MK where needle exchange services are more prevalent were seen to have the highest rates of discarded needles. With respect to a detailed, Google street map with area and street names, this was rejected for submission by our public health team for reasons of confidentiality, potential public backlash and stigma of home dwellers residing in named areas.

## Results

The main findings of this study suggest that a high number of abandoned needles is taking place in urban areas of high social deprivation, clustered around needle exchange points, suggesting that IDUs collect injecting equipment, use in the vicinity and then abandon the used needles. These areas also have the highest rates of HIV, Chlamydia and unplanned pregnancies suggesting a younger, mobile population with high sexual and drug risk behaviours<sup>8</sup>. The findings also suggest that cartographical and geospatial planning can be useful in terms of planning and targeting the delivery of healthcare interventions, particularly in an outreach setting to cater for this cohort. In response to these findings, needle exchange services are being reviewed to ensure that healthcare professionals are appropriately trained in delivering effective needle exchange and harm reduction advice. The Drug Dependency Unit (DDU) also has a renewed focus on delivering high quality harm reduction interventions with the aim of reducing the rates of injecting drug use, educating service users about the risks they pose to themselves and others, and encouraging the use of needle exchange services if service users continue to inject. In addition, an incentive scheme is being introduced to encourage IDUs to return their used needles safely. The BBV service has an integrated sexual health service so this is extremely useful in offering full sexual health screening for IDUs. The integrated sexual health service also delivers a regular outreach clinic from the DDU which is an example of best practice. The introduction of needle exchange programmes has reduced the incidence of BBV transmission in IDUs. However, provision does not seem to be sufficient or effective at reducing discarded needles and syringes. Clearly the task of locating, gathering and counting discarded needles in the selected areas of Milton Keynes is a huge and exhaustive task so there will be limitations around accuracy of numbers in terms of lack of visual inspection of all areas<sup>9</sup>. The needles and syringes found were reasonably assumed to be due to IDU rather than for medicinal purposes e.g. insulin syringes as most therapeutic syringes/needles will be distinguishable, labelled and tend not to be used in public. Accurate numbers of clean needles and syringes was being distributed and used needles being returned are needed to elicit usage levels as well as reasons for non-acquisition to ascertain how such services could be improved to encourage utilisation by IDUs<sup>10</sup>. These were mainly near to the drug treatment service and pharmacy needle exchanges as shown by the heavily altered Google map (see below).



## Ethical Debate

As seen, this revealed important data in the context of 'geospatial' analysis of where the discarded needles were in relation to the Drug Dependency Unit (DDU), BBV centre and needle exchange service is. The main benefit for such maps to be in the public domain will be not just awareness of risk behaviours in the highlighted areas but also 'signposts' places and access to healthcare providers which can potentially deal with such risk incidences and healthcare issues. The main concerns from our team was the backlash from the public residing in this area in terms of confidentiality and stigma despite this information being available publicly<sup>11</sup>. Publications of such maps are not routinely available or published online in the fear of visitors and dwellers being subject to stigmatisation, trolling etc. Although the heavily doctored maps should protect confidentiality, it is arguable that some residents/visitors may recognise certain landmarks in areas highlighted and thus further stigma may be seen. We also appreciate that we do need to assess why IDUs are disposing of needles and syringes by conducting appropriate user surveys and a further study could look at postcodes of IDUs registered with the DDU to see if this coincides with the clusters of needles disposal areas for further possible healthcare outreach interventions. The main cohorts who are at risk to harm are those undertaking the injecting drug use and eventually discarding these needlesticks and the residents/visitors to these areas who are at risk to exposure to the discarded needles. This study effectively demonstrates that concerted health & safety actions, health promotion and effective healthcare signposting, along with visible needle waste disposal sites could effectively reduce the numbers of discarded needles. The close proximity of the DDU and BBV centres to injecting hotspots as illustrated on the map could also promote a 'one-stop-shop' model in terms of ongoing care and this will hopefully engage more IDUs in the community to attend for specialised healthcare, particularly for those in financial and transport difficulties. These are the main reasons why such detailed maps should be published both in the public health realm and professional, healthcare related journals. There is very little literature on guidance on submission of detailed ordnance street maps which could describe other risk behaviour prevalence, crime etc. which may effectively compromise public confidences and sensitivities in disclosing sensitive information. Such maps provide a huge aid in public health commissioning and provision of healthcare services as guidance is given to high healthcare priorities and needs and is particularly helpful in impoverished areas where access to specialised healthcare services can be planned at little cost to low-income users<sup>12</sup>. Are there ethical considerations to be made to produce fully detailed maps or should they be altered specifically according to where the data will be shown? Should such maps be freely available online and in medical journals for professional use or should they be only available in protected institutions such as State buildings/websites where the information will only be available on request? Such maps can provide information on not just risk events and areas, but also healthcare interventional provisions.

## Ethics of publicising confidential data in medical journals

The use of data mapping in the form of detailed Google street maps to identify hot spots for needles being discarded brings a number of ethical issues. Public display of data may bring about concerns over confidentiality, public backlash e.g. over house prices on residing in a high risk area and associated stigma as residents/visitors to the areas highlighted may recognise landmarks even in heavily doctored maps. Guidance is needed in terms of submission of risk data in IDU as well as other 'marginalised' risk-behaviour subjects e.g. alcohol use to journals for educating and guiding other healthcare professionals. Nevertheless, geospatial analysis and use of Google maps can be a useful tool to identify risk areas e.g. location of schools, shopping areas etc. and cost effective healthcare initiatives, expertise and provisions can be planned effectively with ethical guidance. We welcome suggestions and comments from this journal as we believe this has created some ethical dilemmas.

## References

- 1 Degenhardt L., Whiteford H. A., Ferrari A. J., Baxter A. J., Charlson F. J., Hall W. D. *et al.* 'Global burden of disease attributable to illicit drug use and dependence: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010'. *Lancet* 2013; **382**: 1564–74.
- 2 Bargagli A. M., Hickman M., Davoli M., Perucci C. A., Schifano P., Buster M. *et al.* 'Drug-related mortality and its impact on adult mortality in eight European countries'. *Eur J Public Health* 2006; **16**: 198–202
- 3 Pierce M., Bird S. M., Hickman M., Millar T. 'National record linkage study of mortality for a large cohort of opioid users ascertained by drug treatment or criminal justice sources in England, 2005–2009'. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2015; **146**: 17–23
- 4 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. 'Drug Misuse: Psychosocial interventions.' London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2007
- 5 Nyiriri P, Leung T, Zuckerman MA. 'Sharps discarded in inner city parks and playgrounds: risk of bloodborne virus exposure.' *Commun Dis Public Health*. 2004; **7**(4):287-288
- 6 Thompson SC, Boughton CR, Dore GJ. 'Blood-borne viruses and their survival in the environment: is public concern about community needlestick exposures justified?' *Aust NZ J Public Health*. 2003; **27**(6):602-607
- 7 Health Protection Agency, Health Protection Scotland, National Public Health Service for Wales, CDSC Northern Ireland, CRDHB, and the UASSG. 'Shooting up: infections among injecting drug users in the United Kingdom 2005.' London Health Protection Agency; 2006
- 8 Milton Keynes Sexual Health Strategy 2014-2017. 9 Wenger LD, Martinez AN, Carpenter L, Geckeler D, Colfax G, Kral A. 'Syringe disposal among injection drug users in San Francisco.' *American Journal of Public Health*. March 2011. **101**(3): 484-486
- 10 Blenkham JI. 'Clinical wastes in the community; local authority management of discarded drug litter.' *Public Health*. 2008; **122**(7):725-728
- 11 How to Make a Freedom of Information (FOI) request? 12 DeVoe J, Baez A, Angier H, Krois L, Edlund C, Carney PA. 'Insurance plus Access Does not Equal Health Care: Typology of Barriers to Health Care Access for Low-Income Families.' *Ann Fam Med*. 2007; **5**(6):511-518