## 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the British HIV Association (BHIVA)



### Professor Mark Bower

Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, London

# BHIVA Malignancy Guidelines 2013

## Guideline progress

Chapters: 11

Authors: 15 (6 HIV, 4 Haem Onc, 2 Med Onc, 2 Clin Onc, 1 Surgeon)

BHIVA members: 7/15

Completion rates: 10/11

Missing chapter (2 HIV, both BHIVA members)

## Chapters

Introduction & MDT working

```
AIDS defining malignancies
KS
Cervical cancer
NHL
Burkitt lymphoma
Diffuse large B cell lymphoma
Primary effusion lymphoma
Plasmablastic lymphoma
```

Primary cerebral lymphoma

## Chapters

Non AIDS defining malignancies

Anal cancer

Hodgkin lymphoma

Other NADM (lung, germ cell, liver)

OI prophylaxis

# Where should we treat PLWH and cancer?

**Expertise v Access** 

Integration of HIV and oncology service Network approach

Accreditation on quality of service

#### **EVIDENCE**

Cochrane review have shown that the more HIV patients treated by a centre, the better the outcomes (1-3) (level of evidence IIa).

Refs: 1. Crit Care Med. 1998; 26:668-75.

- 2. AIDS Care. 2012; 24:267-82.
- 3. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2011.

#### **EVIDENCE**

"Improving Outcomes in Haematological Cancer" published by NICE in 2003 included a systematic review of published evidence suggesting that higher patient volumes are associated with improved outcomes and that outcomes in specialist centres are better (level of evidence IIb).

Ref: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/10891/28787/28787.pdf;

#### **EVIDENCE**

North London audit 2004 confirmed the better management of patients with AIDS-related lymphomas in HIV centres with cohorts of >500 patients (level of evidence III).

Ref: Int J STD AIDS. 2004; 15:765-6.

#### **EVIDENCE**

Audit in Canada also showed that clinicians treating larger numbers of patients with AIDS-related lymphoma provided better care (level of evidence III).

Refs: Ann Hematol. 2007; 86:631-8.

An additional benefit could be greater uptake of HIV testing amongst patients diagnosed with cancers including lymphomas as advocated in BHIVA testing guidelines (1).

This remains a concern since UK lymphoma clinicians are often overly reluctant to adopt universal testing (2) and uptake remains low even for AIDS defining malignancies (level of evidence III) (3).

Refs: 1. Clin Med. 2009; 9:471-6.

2. HIV Med. 2010; 11:59-60.

3. HIV Med. 2012; 13:34

## How many?

Population for specialist MDT
Haem onc 0.5M
Testis cancer 2M
Penile cancer 4M

#### HIV cohort size

Volume for clinician

Lung cancer >100/yr

Breast cancer >50 ops/yr

Melanoma >16 LN dissections/yr

## Bone sarcoma NICE guidance 2006

Soft tissue sarcoma MDT (100/yr) is likely to serve a population of 2–3 million people

Bone sarcoma MDT (50/yr) is likely to serve a population of of 7–8 million

### Are you a member of BHIVA?

① Yes

(2) No

### What job do you do?

- ① Community rep
- ② Nurse
- 3 Non-consultant grade doctor
- 4 Consultant grade doctor
- (5) Other

# What should commissioning of HIV oncology services be based on?

① Geography (population served)

② Volume (HIV cohort size)

③ Experience (number of HIV cancer patients)

# What total population should an HIV oncology service cover?

- ① Any
- 2 1 Million
- 3 5 Million
- 4 10 Million

# What HIV cohort size should an HIV oncology service cover?

- ① Any
- 2 1,000
- 3 5,000
- 4 10,000

What number of patients with HIV & cancer should an HIV oncology service cover?

- ① Any
- ② 20/yr
- ③ 50/yr
- 4 100/yr